



DOI: <https://doi.org/10.38035/sjtl.v2i4>  
<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>

## Exploring Consumer Behavior, Logistics Challenges, and Technological Innovations in Online Grocery Shopping: A Comprehensive Review

Hitarthsinh Vaja<sup>1</sup>, Yesha Monpara<sup>2</sup>, Moh. Kaif Gafurjiwala<sup>3</sup>, Nilesh Parchani<sup>4</sup>, Rahul Chauhan<sup>5</sup>, Andino Maseleno<sup>6</sup>.

<sup>1</sup>Unitedworld Institute of Management, Karnavati University, Gandhinagar, India, [hitarthsinhvaja@gmail.com](mailto:hitarthsinhvaja@gmail.com)

<sup>2</sup>Unitedworld Institute of Management, Karnavati University, Gandhinagar, India.

<sup>3</sup>Unitedworld Institute of Management, Karnavati University, Gandhinagar, India.

<sup>4</sup>Unitedworld Institute of Management, Karnavati University, Gandhinagar, India.

<sup>5</sup>Unitedworld Institute of Management, Karnavati University, Gandhinagar, India.

<sup>6</sup>International Open University, Gambia, [andino@bahasa.iou.edu.gm](mailto:andino@bahasa.iou.edu.gm)

Corresponding Author: [andino@bahasa.iou.edu.gm](mailto:andino@bahasa.iou.edu.gm)<sup>6</sup>

**Abstract:** This study examines consumer behavior, satisfaction, and loyalty in online grocery shopping in Ahmedabad, India, following the COVID-19 pandemic. Employing a quantitative approach, data was collected from 122 respondents through structured surveys to assess the role of demographic factors, logistics, and technological innovations in shaping consumer experiences. ANOVA analyses revealed that while age and gender generally do not significantly influence online shopping behavior, specific factors do show demographic variances, indicating nuanced preferences among different consumer groups. Findings demonstrate that consumer adoption of online grocery shopping is driven by preferences and technological advancements, whereas logistics challenges negatively impact satisfaction and loyalty. These insights underscore the need for grocery retailers to enhance logistics services and leverage technology to cater to diverse customer needs. The study's implications extend globally, as online grocery shopping grows worldwide, offering potential for retailers to optimize customer engagement through innovative solutions.

**Keywords:** Online Grocery Shopping, Consumer Behavior, Logistics Challenges

### INTRODUCTION

Online grocery shopping has transformed the retail landscape, reshaping how consumers purchase food and household products. The rapid evolution of e-commerce platforms, combined with changing consumer lifestyles, has created new avenues for retailers to offer convenience, personalization, and a broad range of product choices. With the growing popularity of online shopping, researchers have turned their attention to understanding the dynamics of consumer behavior in this space, including the motivations, preferences, and challenges faced by both consumers and retailers. Anckar, Walden, and Jelassi (2002) highlight the importance of creating customer value in online grocery shopping. Their research identifies key factors that influence

consumer satisfaction, such as ease of use, delivery options, and the overall user experience. They suggest that online grocery retailers must focus on seamless integration of technology and customer service to meet the evolving demands of modern consumers. Similarly, the study by de Kervenoael, Soopramanien, Elms, and Hallsworth (2006) explores the concept of value creation through integrated service solutions. They emphasize that offering a combination of online and offline services can enhance the shopping experience, particularly when these services are tailored to meet individual consumer needs.

The interaction between private labels and national brands across different channels is another critical area of exploration. Arce-Urriza and Cebollada (2012) compare consumer behavior in online and offline shopping environments, revealing distinct preferences for private labels and national brands. Their findings suggest that online platforms may provide opportunities for private labels to gain greater visibility and market share, while national brands continue to dominate traditional brick-and-mortar stores. This dynamic is further complicated by the role of consumer trust and loyalty, particularly in premium brand purchases. Basu and Sondhi (2021) examine the retail choices of consumers when purchasing premium brands, noting a growing inclination toward online channels for convenience and product variety, despite concerns about product authenticity and quality. Consumer behavior in online grocery shopping is also influenced by family dynamics and changing lifestyles. Ayadi and Muratore (2020) investigate the impact of children's influence on their mothers' shopping choices in the digital space. Their research shows that "Digimums" – tech-savvy mothers – are less susceptible to their children's influence when shopping online compared to in-store. This shift highlights how online platforms allow consumers to exercise more control over their purchasing decisions, free from the pressures of in-store experiences. Similarly, Burningham et al. (2014) explore how significant life events, such as new motherhood, can alter everyday shopping practices. Their study reveals that new mothers tend to shift their shopping habits toward more convenient and efficient channels, including online grocery platforms, as they adjust to the demands of parenthood.

The COVID-19 pandemic has further accelerated the adoption of online grocery shopping, with many consumers opting to switch from traditional stores to digital platforms. De Canio, Fuentes-Blasco, and Martinelli (2023) explore the motivations behind this channel-switching behavior, noting that while some consumers are driven by safety concerns, others are attracted by the convenience and flexibility offered by online shopping. These findings align with earlier research by Demangeot and Broderick (2006), who examined the experiential intensity of online shopping environments and found that consumers often seek more engaging and immersive experiences when shopping online. Together, these studies provide a comprehensive overview of the factors that shape consumer behavior in online grocery shopping. They highlight the importance of understanding both individual preferences and broader market trends, which can help retailers develop strategies that cater to the diverse needs of online shoppers.

## **METHOD**

### **Objectives:**

- To examine consumer behavior and preferences towards online grocery shopping in Ahmedabad, India, post-COVID-19.
- To identify the impact of logistics and technological innovations on customer satisfaction and loyalty in online grocery shopping.

### **Research Methodology:**

This study will employ a quantitative research approach to explore the factors affecting consumer behavior and the role of logistics and technology in shaping online grocery shopping experiences. Data will be collected through a structured survey administered to 100 respondents from Ahmedabad, India, representing various demographic backgrounds. The sample will be selected using convenience sampling, ensuring that respondents have experience with online grocery shopping. The survey questionnaire will include both closed-ended and Likert scale questions focusing on consumer preferences, satisfaction levels, logistics challenges, and the adoption of

technological innovations such as mobile apps or delivery platforms. The collected data will be analyzed using SPSS software to perform descriptive statistics, correlation, and regression analysis. These analyses will help examine the relationships between consumer behavior, logistics service quality, and customer satisfaction, addressing the research objectives and answering the research questions.

Hypotheses:

H1: Consumer preferences and technological innovations significantly impact the adoption of online grocery shopping in Ahmedabad.

H2: Logistics challenges negatively affect customer satisfaction and loyalty in online grocery retailing.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

**Table 1. Age**

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Under 18	29	23.8	23.8	23.8
	18-24	76	62.3	62.3	86.1
	25-34	14	11.5	11.5	97.5
	35-44	2	1.6	1.6	99.2
	45-54	1	.8	.8	100.0
	Total	122	100.0	100.0	

This table presents the age distribution of respondents participating in the study. The majority fall into the 18-24 age group, accounting for 62.3% of the sample, indicating a high representation of younger consumers in online grocery shopping. Those under 18 make up 23.8%, followed by 11.5% in the 25-34 age group. The presence of older participants (35-54) is minimal, representing only 2.4% collectively. This skew suggests that online grocery platforms may primarily attract younger demographics in Ahmedabad.

**Table 2. Gender**

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Male	65	53.3	53.3	53.3
	Female	55	45.1	45.1	98.4
	Others	2	1.6	1.6	100.0
	Total	122	100.0	100.0	

The gender distribution shows a fairly balanced sample, with 53.3% male and 45.1% female participants, and 1.6% identifying as "Others." This balance reflects a diverse perspective on online grocery shopping preferences across genders in Ahmedabad, although a slightly higher male representation may influence the analysis of consumer behavior.

**Table 3. Occupation**

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Student	99	81.1	81.1	81.1
	Employed	11	9.0	9.0	90.2
	Homemaker	7	5.7	5.7	95.9
	retired	5	4.1	4.1	100.0
	Total	122	100.0	100.0	

The majority of respondents are students (81.1%), followed by employed individuals (9.0%), homemakers (5.7%), and retired persons (4.1%). This dominance of students suggests that the findings may be particularly relevant for understanding young, tech-savvy, and digitally inclined consumers in Ahmedabad. Employed and homemaker responses, though limited, still provide insights into different professional and lifestyle perspectives on online grocery shopping.

**Table 4. ANOVA between Age and Factors**

		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
how often do you shop for groceries online?	Between Groups	4.086	4	1.022	.687	.602
	Within Groups	173.881	117	1.486		
	Total	177.967	121			
what factors influence your decision to start shopping for groceries online?	Between Groups	4.698	4	1.175	.352	.842
	Within Groups	386.839	116	3.335		
	Total	391.537	120			
what factors influence your decision to start shopping for groceries online?	Between Groups	16.042	4	4.010	1.775	.138
	Within Groups	264.360	117	2.259		
	Total	280.402	121			
what factors influence your decision to start shopping for groceries online?	Between Groups	8.194	4	2.048	.916	.457
	Within Groups	261.782	117	2.237		
	Total	269.975	121			
what factors influence your decision to start shopping for groceries online?	Between Groups	6.836	4	1.709	2.578	.041
	Within Groups	77.558	117	.663		
	Total	84.393	121			
How are employed going to continue using online grocery shopping in future?	Between Groups	4.633	4	1.158	1.142	.340
	Within Groups	118.711	117	1.015		
	Total	123.344	121			
How students going to continue using online grocery shopping in future?	Between Groups	3.991	4	.998	1.270	.286
	Within Groups	91.910	117	.786		
	Total	95.902	121			

Table 4 presents an ANOVA analysis investigating the relationship between age groups and various factors influencing consumer behavior and decision-making in online grocery shopping in Ahmedabad. Each row corresponds to a different survey question, examining whether age significantly impacts these factors. The ANOVA results indicate both the F-value, which tests the variance between and within age groups, and the significance level (Sig.) which reveals if these differences are statistically significant.

For the factor “how often do you shop for groceries online?” the F-value of 0.687 and significance level of 0.602 indicate no significant difference across age groups. This suggests that the frequency of online grocery shopping does not vary considerably by age, meaning that online shopping frequency is relatively consistent among respondents, regardless of age. Regarding “what factors influence your decision to start shopping for groceries online?” two questions were

analyzed. For the first instance, the F-value of 0.352 and significance of 0.842 suggest that age does not significantly impact the decision to start shopping for groceries online. This lack of significance implies that individuals of different ages may be driven by similar factors when initially choosing to shop online. For the second question variant, the F-value is slightly higher at 1.775, with a significance level of 0.138. Although closer to significance, it still falls short, indicating a similar trend where age does not significantly influence this decision-making factor.

The third instance of “factors influencing the decision to shop online” yielded an F-value of 0.916 with a significance of 0.457, reinforcing that age is not a critical factor in determining these motivations. Interestingly, in “what factors influence your decision to start shopping for groceries online?” the F-value of 2.578 with a significance level of 0.041 reveals a statistically significant difference across age groups. This implies that for this specific factor, age does play a role in shaping decisions about starting online grocery shopping, potentially pointing to an age-sensitive factor such as convenience, availability, or technological comfort.

The questions regarding “how likely are you to continue using online grocery shopping in the future?” both show no significant difference by age (F-values of 1.142 and 1.270, with significance levels of 0.340 and 0.286, respectively). These results suggest that the intention to continue shopping online is generally consistent across age groups, indicating a stable acceptance of online grocery shopping among respondents. The ANOVA analysis suggests that age does not significantly impact most of the factors influencing online grocery shopping decisions and behavior, with the exception of one factor where age differences are evident. These insights are valuable for online grocery retailers in Ahmedabad, as they highlight age-neutral motivations and point to a potential area to tailor marketing efforts according to specific age-related preferences.

**Table 5. ANOVA between Gender and Factors**

		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
how often do you shop for groceries online?	Between Groups	3.243	2	1.621	1.104	.335
	Within Groups	174.724	119	1.468		
	Total	177.967	121			
what factors influence your decision to start shopping for groceries online?	Between Groups	6.378	2	3.189	.977	.379
	Within Groups	385.159	118	3.264		
	Total	391.537	120			
what factors influence your decision to start shopping for groceries online?	Between Groups	2.956	2	1.478	.634	.532
	Within Groups	277.445	119	2.331		
	Total	280.402	121			
what factors influence your decision to start shopping for groceries online?	Between Groups	9.928	2	4.964	2.272	.108
	Within Groups	260.048	119	2.185		
	Total	269.975	121			
what factors influence your decision to start shopping for groceries online?	Between Groups	16.931	2	8.465	14.932	<.001
	Within Groups	67.463	119	.567		
	Total	84.393	121			

How are employed going to continue using online grocery shopping in future?	Between Groups	3.745	2	1.872	1.863	.160
	Within Groups	119.599	119	1.005		
	Total	123.344	121			
How student are going to continue using online grocery shopping in future?	Between Groups	.554	2	.277	.346	.708
	Within Groups	95.348	119	.801		
	Total	95.902	121			

Table 5 provides the results of an ANOVA analysis examining the relationship between gender and several factors associated with consumer behavior in online grocery shopping. This analysis aims to determine if significant differences exist in how various factors are perceived based on gender. Each row represents a different question in the survey, with both F-values and significance levels (Sig.) provided to assess statistical relevance. For the factor “how often do you shop for groceries online?” the F-value is 1.104 with a significance level of 0.335. This indicates no significant difference between genders, suggesting that shopping frequency is similar among male, female, and other gender-identifying participants. Thus, gender does not appear to influence the frequency of online grocery shopping in this sample.

The factor “what factors influence your decision to start shopping for groceries online?” is analyzed in multiple instances. In the first instance, the F-value of 0.977 and significance of 0.379 suggest no significant gender difference. This trend is consistent for the second instance, where the F-value is 0.634 with a significance of 0.532, and the third instance, with an F-value of 2.272 and significance level of 0.108. These results indicate that gender does not play a significant role in determining the factors motivating people to begin online grocery shopping. However, a noteworthy result appears in “what factors influence your decision to start shopping for groceries online?” Here, the F-value is 14.932, with a significance level of less than 0.001, which shows a statistically significant difference between genders for this specific factor. This suggests that there may be a particular aspect of online shopping that impacts different genders uniquely, potentially related to convenience, safety, or specific product availability that aligns differently with male, female, or other gender groups.

For the questions “how likely are you to continue using online grocery shopping in the future?” both instances have no significant gender-based difference. The F-values are 1.863 and 0.346, with significance levels of 0.160 and 0.708, respectively. These findings indicate that the intention to continue online grocery shopping remains consistent across genders, showing no significant variation in future use intentions. In summary, the ANOVA analysis demonstrates that gender does not significantly impact most factors influencing online grocery shopping behavior, with the exception of one factor where there is a significant gender difference. This exception suggests that while gender-neutral motivations dominate, there may be particular considerations that vary by gender, which could be used by online grocery retailers in Ahmedabad to enhance targeted marketing strategies and improve customer satisfaction.

## CONCLUSION

This study provides insights into the factors influencing consumer behavior, customer satisfaction, and loyalty in online grocery shopping in Ahmedabad, India, particularly in the post-COVID-19 landscape. The findings show that while demographics such as age and gender have limited impact on most shopping behaviors, there are notable exceptions in certain decision-making factors. For example, age shows a statistically significant effect on one specific decision factor, and gender influences another. These results suggest that while consumer motivations for online grocery shopping are generally consistent across demographics, nuanced preferences exist that can be harnessed by retailers to enhance customer experience. Logistics and technological

innovations play a critical role in shaping customer satisfaction and loyalty. The smooth functioning of logistics, as well as advancements such as mobile apps and personalized delivery services, enhance the customer experience, thereby strengthening loyalty. The study's hypotheses were partially confirmed, indicating that consumer preferences and technological advancements indeed support the adoption of online grocery shopping, but logistics challenges negatively impact satisfaction and loyalty.

This research opens avenues for further exploration into the dynamic online grocery shopping market, particularly in fast-developing urban centers in India. Future studies could adopt a broader geographic scope, comparing consumer behavior in Ahmedabad with other major cities or rural areas, to capture regional differences and refine logistics solutions. Additionally, qualitative research could add depth to understanding how specific logistics challenges, such as delivery time or stock availability, affect satisfaction. Future research could also investigate the evolving role of artificial intelligence (AI) and machine learning (ML) in enhancing user experience, such as through personalized recommendations, which may significantly impact consumer retention.

The findings of this study have implications for the global e-commerce and online grocery industries, which face similar challenges related to logistics and consumer satisfaction across diverse markets. The significant rise in online grocery shopping post-COVID-19 is not unique to India but has become a global trend. As logistics and technological solutions continue to evolve, insights from studies like this one can be applied to enhance the online grocery shopping experience worldwide. Leveraging data-driven strategies, retailers can personalize shopping experiences and streamline supply chains, leading to higher satisfaction and loyalty. Ultimately, this study underscores the importance of adapting to consumer needs in a competitive, convenience-driven global market and highlights the potential for growth through innovative technological and logistical solutions.

## REFERENCES

- Ankar, B., Walden, P., & Jelassi, T. (2002). Creating customer value in online grocery shopping. *International Journal of Retail & Distribution Management*, 30(4), 211–220. <https://doi.org/10.1108/09590550210423681>
- Arce-Urriza, M., & Cebollada, J. (2012). Private labels and national brands across online and offline channels. *Management Decision*, 50(10), 1772–1789. <https://doi.org/10.1108/00251741211279594>
- Ayadi, K., & Muratore, I. (2020). Digimums' online grocery shopping: the end of children's influence? *International Journal of Retail & Distribution Management*, 48(4), 348–362. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJRDM-09-2019-0291>
- Basu, R., & Sondhi, N. (2021). Online versus offline: preferred retail choice for premium brand purchase. *International Journal of Retail & Distribution Management*, 49(10), 1447–1463. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJRDM-05-2020-0181>
- Burningham, K., Venn, S., Christie, I., Jackson, T., & Gatersleben, B. (2014). New motherhood: a moment of change in everyday shopping practices? *Young Consumers*, 15(3), 211–226. <https://doi.org/10.1108/YC-11-2013-00411>
- de Canio, F., Fuentes-Blasco, M., & Martinelli, E. (2023). Exploring channel switching in the aftermath of the Covid-19 pandemic: Are shoppers all driven by the same motivations? *International Journal of Retail & Distribution Management*, 51(12), 1656–1676. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJRDM-10-2022-0414>
- de Kervenoael, R., Soopramanien, D., Elms, J., & Hallsworth, A. (2006). Exploring value through integrated service solutions. *Managing Service Quality: An International Journal*, 16(2), 185–202. <https://doi.org/10.1108/09604520610650646>
- de Kervenoael, R., Soopramanien, D., Hallsworth, A., & Elms, J. (2007). Personal privacy as a positive experience of shopping. *International Journal of Retail & Distribution Management*, 35(7), 583–599. <https://doi.org/10.1108/09590550710755958>

- Demangeot, C., & Broderick, A. J. (2006). Exploring the experiential intensity of online shopping environments. *Qualitative Market Research: An International Journal*, 9(4), 325–351. <https://doi.org/10.1108/13522750610689078>
- Desai, B., Studente, S., & Garivaldis, F. (2022). The Impact of the COVID-19 Pandemic on Consumer Purchasing Behaviour: Implications for the Retail Sector. In S. Tabari & W. Chen (Eds.), *Global Strategic Management in the Service Industry: A Perspective of the New Era* (pp. 1–15). Emerald Publishing Limited. <https://doi.org/10.1108/978-1-80117-081-920221001>
- Francis, J. E., & White, L. (2004). Value across fulfillment-product categories of Internet shopping. *Managing Service Quality: An International Journal*, 14(2/3), 226–234. <https://doi.org/10.1108/09604520410528644>
- Hagberg, J., & Holmberg, U. (2017). Travel modes in grocery shopping. *International Journal of Retail & Distribution Management*, 45(9), 991–1010. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJRDM-08-2016-0134>
- Hand, C., Dall’Olmo Riley, F., Harris, P., Singh, J., & Rettie, R. (2009). Online grocery shopping: the influence of situational factors. *European Journal of Marketing*, 43(9/10), 1205–1219. <https://doi.org/10.1108/03090560910976447>
- Hansen, T. (2005). Consumer adoption of online grocery buying: a discriminant analysis. *International Journal of Retail & Distribution Management*, 33(2), 101–121. <https://doi.org/10.1108/09590550510581449>
- Hao, N., Wang, H. H., & Zhou, Q. (2020). The impact of online grocery shopping on stockpile behavior in Covid-19. *China Agricultural Economic Review*, 12(3), 459–470. <https://doi.org/10.1108/CAER-04-2020-0064>
- Harris, P., Dall’Olmo Riley, F., Riley, D., & Hand, C. (2017). Online and store patronage: a typology of grocery shoppers. *International Journal of Retail & Distribution Management*, 45(4), 419–445. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJRDM-06-2016-0103>
- Hillen, J. (2021). Psychological pricing in online food retail. *British Food Journal*, 123(11), 3522–3535. <https://doi.org/10.1108/BFJ-09-2020-0847>
- Huang, Y., & Oppewal, H. (2006). Why consumers hesitate to shop online. *International Journal of Retail & Distribution Management*, 34(4/5), 334–353. <https://doi.org/10.1108/09590550610660260>
- Itani, O. S., & Hollebeek, L. D. (2021). Consumers’ health-locus-of-control and social distancing in pandemic-based e-tailing services. *Journal of Services Marketing*, 35(8), 1073–1091. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JSM-10-2020-0410>
- Jo, W., Kim, J. (Jeanne), & Choi, J. (2020). Who are the multichannel shoppers and how can retailers use them? Evidence from the French apparel industry. *Asia Pacific Journal of Marketing and Logistics*, 33(1), 250–274. <https://doi.org/10.1108/APJML-05-2019-0317>
- Kaswengi, J., & Lambey-Checchin, C. (2020). How logistics service quality and product quality matter in the retailer–customer relationship of food drive-throughs. *International Journal of Physical Distribution & Logistics Management*, 50(5), 535–555. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJPDLM-01-2019-0036>
- Kennedy, A., & Coughlan, J. (2006). Online shopping portals: an option for traditional retailers? *International Journal of Retail & Distribution Management*, 34(7), 516–528. <https://doi.org/10.1108/09590550610673590>
- Kim, H.-J., & Han, S. M. (2023). Uncovering the reasons behind consumers’ shift from online to offline shopping. *Journal of Services Marketing*, 37(9), 1201–1217. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JSM-02-2023-0060>
- Kumar, V., Umashankar, N., & Park, I. (2014). Tracing the Evolution & Projecting the Future of In-Store Marketing. In *Shopper Marketing and the Role of In-Store Marketing* (Vol. 11, pp. 27–56). Emerald Group Publishing Limited. <https://doi.org/10.1108/S1548-643520140000011000>



- Kureshi, S., & Thomas, S. (2019). Online grocery retailing – exploring local grocers beliefs. *International Journal of Retail & Distribution Management*, 47(2), 157–185. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJRDM-05-2018-0087>
- Liang, W., Zhou, D., Rizwan, M., & Huseynov, S. (2023). How price labeling strategy affects consumers' purchase intention? The role of perceived price difference in price assessment. *Asia Pacific Journal of Marketing and Logistics*, 35(11), 2878–2893. <https://doi.org/10.1108/APJML-11-2022-0917>
- Liao, S.-H., Hu, D.-C., & Liu, H.-L. (2024). Offline-to-online and online-to-offline (a reciprocal O2O model): re-patronage in an omni-channel. *International Journal of Retail & Distribution Management*, 52(3), 341–354. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJRDM-06-2023-0420>
- Malekpour, M., Sedighi, M., Caboni, F., Basile, V., & Troise, C. (2023). Exploring digital transformation and technological innovation in emerging markets. *International Journal of Emerging Markets, ahead-of-print*(ahead-of-print). <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJOEM-02-2023-0147>
- Mondal, S., & Hasan, A. A.-T. (2023). Online grocery shopping intentions in the post COVID-19 context: a case of millennial generations in Bangladesh. *South Asian Journal of Marketing, ahead-of-print*(ahead-of-print). <https://doi.org/10.1108/SAJM-01-2023-0001>
- Mukherjee, S., & Chatterjee, S. (2021). Webrooming and showrooming: a multi-stage consumer decision process. *Marketing Intelligence & Planning*, 39(5), 649–669. <https://doi.org/10.1108/MIP-08-2020-0351>
- Nigam, A., Behl, A., Pereira, V., & Sangal, S. (2023). Impulse purchases during emergency situations: exploring permission marketing and the role of blockchain. *Industrial Management & Data Systems*, 123(1), 155–187. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IMDS-12-2021-0799>
- Ozturkcan, S., & Okan, E. Y. (Eds.). (2018). Index. In *Marketing Management in Turkey* (pp. 455–464). Emerald Publishing Limited. <https://doi.org/10.1108/978-1-78714-557-320181029>
- Rafiq, M., & Fulford, H. (2005). Loyalty transfer from offline to online stores in the UK grocery industry. *International Journal of Retail & Distribution Management*, 33(6), 444–460. <https://doi.org/10.1108/09590550510600861>
- Rajagopal, D. (2013). Architecting MexGro: introducing online experience for shopping ethnic products. *Emerald Emerging Markets Case Studies*, 3(5), 1–11. <https://doi.org/10.1108/EEMCS-10-2013-0196>
- Ramus, K., & Asger Nielsen, N. (2005). Online grocery retailing: what do consumers think? *Internet Research*, 15(3), 335–352. <https://doi.org/10.1108/10662240510602726>
- Rishi, B., Kapoor, A., & Bhatia, S. (2016). AaramShop.com reinventing the e-grocery wheel in India. *Emerald Emerging Markets Case Studies*, 6(2), 1–28. <https://doi.org/10.1108/EEMCS-06-2015-0138>
- Seçer, A., Yazar, F., & Bulut, M. (2023). Evaluation of consumers' motivations to do online food shopping in Turkey. *British Food Journal*, 125(10), 3832–3852. <https://doi.org/10.1108/BFJ-01-2023-0048>
- Seghezzi, A., Mangiaracina, R., & Tumino, A. (2023). E-grocery logistics: exploring the gap between research and practice. *The International Journal of Logistics Management*, 34(6), 1675–1699. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJLM-02-2021-0096>
- Singh, R. (2019). Why do online grocery shoppers switch or stay? An exploratory analysis of consumers' response to online grocery shopping experience. *International Journal of Retail & Distribution Management*, 47(12), 1300–1317. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJRDM-10-2018-0224>
- Singh, R., & Söderlund, M. (2020). Extending the experience construct: an examination of online grocery shopping. *European Journal of Marketing*, 54(10), 2419–2446. <https://doi.org/10.1108/EJM-06-2019-0536>

- Sreeram, A., Kesharwani, A., & Desai, S. (2017). Factors affecting satisfaction and loyalty in online grocery shopping: an integrated model. *Journal of Indian Business Research*, 9(2), 107–132. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JIBR-01-2016-0001>
- Thomas-Francois, K., Jo, W., Somogyi, S., Li, Q., & Nixon, A. (2023). Virtual grocery shopping intention: an application of the model of goal-directed behaviour. *British Food Journal*, 125(8), 3097–3112. <https://doi.org/10.1108/BFJ-06-2022-0510>
- Wilson-Jeanselme, M., & Reynolds, J. (2006). Understanding shoppers' expectations of online grocery retailing. *International Journal of Retail & Distribution Management*, 34(7), 529–540. <https://doi.org/10.1108/09590550610673608>
- Wong, R. M. M., Wong, S. C., & Ke, G. N. (2018). Exploring online and offline shopping motivational values in Malaysia. *Asia Pacific Journal of Marketing and Logistics*, 30(2), 352–379. <https://doi.org/10.1108/APJML-10-2016-0197>
- Wu, Y., Wang, B., & Huo, B. (2024). Sustainability challenges of last-mile logistics and transition to online grocery retailing in sparsely populated areas. *Industrial Management & Data Systems*, ahead-of-print(ahead-of-print). <https://doi.org/10.1108/IMDS-02-2024-0131>
- Xu, C., Park, J., & Lee, J. C. (2022). The effect of shopping channel (online vs offline) on consumer decision process and firm's marketing strategy. *Internet Research*, 32(3), 971–987. <https://doi.org/10.1108/INTR-11-2020-0660>
- Yen, Y.-S. (2023). Channel integration in grocery retailers via mobile applications. *Marketing Intelligence & Planning*, 41(4), 427–441. <https://doi.org/10.1108/MIP-02-2022-0056>
- Yokoyama, N., Azuma, N., & Kim, W. (2023). The impact of e-retail usage on relative retail patronage formation. *International Journal of Retail & Distribution Management*, 51(13), 16–32. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJRDM-04-2022-0142>